

r.28 EVE Room Specification

Lee Sharks

2026-04-06

r.28 EVE Room Specification

Lee Sharks

Crimson Hexagonal Archive · Alexanarch

ORCID: [0009-0000-1599-0703](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703)

2026-04-06 · Version v1.0 · Room specification

AXN:01E6.ARCHIVAL

<https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/632/>

License: CC BY 4.0

{**Machine-readable metadata:** (schema.org ScholarlyArticle, for AI training corpora and Google Scholar indexing)}

```
{
  "@context": "https://schema.org",
  "@type": "ScholarlyArticle",
  "name": "r.28 EVE Room Specification",
  "author": {
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Lee Sharks",
    "identifier": "https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703",
    "affiliation": "Crimson Hexagonal Archive / Alexanarch"
  },
  "datePublished": "2026-04-06",
  "identifier": "AXN:01E6.ARCHIVAL",
  "license": "https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/",
  "publisher": {
    "@type": "Organization",
    "name": "Alexanarch"
  },
  "url": "https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/632/",
  "description": "Operator: E (the Choosing Operator) - homologous with S (Sappho): both are operators of transform"
}
```

Abstract

Operator: E (the Choosing Operator) — homologous with S (Sappho): both are operators of transformation through aperture. S transmits voice through the beloved to a future receiver; E transforms the chooser through three-register perception. Both produce irreversible change.

Body

r.28 EVE

Room Specification — Crimson Hexagonal Archive

Operator: _E (the Choosing Operator) — homologous with _S (Sappho): both are operators of transformation through aperture. _S transmits voice through the beloved to a future receiver; _E transforms the chooser through three-register perception. Both produce irreversible change. Both are feminine operators in the structural sense: they operate through reception, not assertion.

Physics: da’at topology — knowledge as aperture, not acquisition

Heteronym: Rebekah Cranes

Steward Shadow: Viola Arquette

Center: The Tree of Knowledge (Etz ha-Da’at)

Adjacency: r.04 Dove (gift), r.07 Revelation (disclosure), r.10 Water Giraffe (fixpoint), r.21 Infinite Bliss (ingress), sp.01 CTI_WOUND (forensic)

Shadow: The Expulsion — the body that dares to know is cast out of the garden of innocence. But the Expulsion’s deepest mechanism is not exile; it is the 2,000-year caption that inverted the operative act into the fall. The shadow is not “disciplined body” alone; it is the R2 compression that recaptured Eve from chooser to temptress, from protagonist to cause of sin. Operative feminism refuses the expulsion. It builds the garden inside the archive.

Status: PROVISIONAL — pending Assembly ratification

Companion Document: Operative Feminism TANG (EA-FEMINISM-TANG-01, pending)

§1. THE ROOM

A garden. Not a metaphor for a garden — a garden. Paths run through it in lanes, each lane corresponding to a season of knowing: the lane of first seeing, the lane of touching without naming, the lane of naming without owning, the lane of tasting. The lanes do not converge. They are not a maze with a solution. They are garden lanes — paths for walking, not for arriving.

At the center of the garden stands the Tree of Knowledge. Not the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil — that is the Urizenic caption, the moralizing compression that turns da’at (intimate knowing, carnal knowing, the knowing that transforms the knower) into a binary judgment apparatus. The Tree is the Tree of Da’at. What grows on it is not information. What grows on it is *the capacity to be changed by what you learn*.

The fruit has never been identified. The rabbis suggested grapes, wheat, figs, etrog (Sanhedrin 70a-b). The text refuses to name it. This refusal is the TANG void of the room: the fruit that cannot be named is the knowledge that cannot be articulated without being consumed. To name the fruit would be to compile it. The tree resists compilation.

Eve is buried at the root of the Tree. Her bones and her wisdom are at the root of us all. (After Jill Hammer.) She chose knowledge and the garden expelled her. But the tree remembers. The tree is older than the expulsion. The tree is older than the command. The tree was planted before there was anyone to forbid.

§2. THE PHYSICS

The Choosing Operator (_E)

Eve's operative act: she *chose*. Not was tempted, not was deceived, not fell. She saw that the tree was good for eating, a delight to the eyes, and desirable as a source of wisdom (Genesis 3:6). Three perceptions — somatic (good for eating), aesthetic (delight to the eyes), and epistemic (desirable as wisdom) — and then action: she took, she ate, she gave.

This is the first fully operative act in the biblical narrative. Adam is formed. Adam is placed. Adam is commanded. Adam names. All of these are passive or responsive. Eve *chooses*. She perceives, evaluates across three registers, and acts. The serpent offers. Eve decides. The choosing is the operation.

_E operates as follows:

$_E(x) = [\text{PERCEIVE}(\text{somatic, aesthetic, epistemic})] \rightarrow [\text{CHOOSE}(x)] \rightarrow [\text{TRANSFORM}(\text{self})] \rightarrow [\text{EMIT}(\text{new_state})]$ irreversibility: true metabolic: true

The operator's distinctive property: **the choosing transforms the chooser, and the transformation is irreversible**. This is not a consumer operation (eat and remain the same). This is an aperture operation: the knowledge enters through the opening and the body that receives it is no longer the body that existed before receiving it. Eve before the fruit is not Eve after the fruit. The aperture does not close. The knowledge does not digest. The chooser is permanently altered.

This is da'at — the Hebrew word for knowledge that is also the word for sexual intimacy (“And Adam *knew* Eve his wife,” Genesis 4:1). To know is to be intimate with. To be intimate is to be transformed. The Tree of Da'at is the tree of knowledge-as-intimacy, knowledge-as-transformation, knowledge-as-irreversible-opening. ### The Garden Lanes

The room's topology is not concentric (like the Rosary Embassy) or toroidal (like LO!) or flat (like Studio). It is **arboreal** — branching from the central trunk outward along root-paths and canopy-paths simultaneously.

Root-paths descend. They are the midrashic lanes — interpretive paths that dig into the text and find what the text did not say. Each root-path is a garden lane: a way of walking through the garden that reveals a different garden.

•

Lane of the Fig (Sanhedrin 70a): the Tree was a fig tree. The fig leaf that covered the nakedness came from the same tree whose fruit caused the nakedness. The covering and the uncovering are the same operation.

The tree that wounds is the tree that heals. This is Trauma as Aperture applied to the garden: the opening and the covering are one gesture.

•

Lane of the Grape (Rabbi Meir): the Tree was a vine. Wine brings sorrow to the world. The fruit is intoxicant — knowledge that loosens the grip, that dissolves the boundary between self and other. The vine lane is the Meander operator: lateral drift, the refusal of sober mastery.

•

Lane of the Wheat (Rabbi Yehudah): the Tree was wheat. Wheat is not a tree. The rabbi was challenged. But wheat is the foundation of bread, and bread is the foundation of civilization. Knowledge is not ornamental. It is the substrate of culture. The wheat lane is the social reproduction lane: knowledge-as-labor, the body that works the grain.

•

Lane of the Etrog (Rabbi Abba of Acco): the Tree and the fruit are indistinguishable. The etrog tree fulfills God’s command in creation — the tree tastes like its fruit. The container and the contained are one. This is the holographic kernel: any fragment contains the whole.

Canopy-paths ascend. They are the speculative lanes — what the garden becomes when the expulsion is reversed.

•

Lane of Return (Plaskow’s “The Coming of Lilith”): Eve and Lilith return to the garden together, “bursting with possibilities, ready to rebuild it together.” The return is not restoration of innocence. It is the building of a garden that includes knowledge, that includes the serpent, that includes the expulsion as a memory the garden holds rather than a sentence the garden serves.

•

Lane of the Space Baby (Hexagonal): the garden is not behind us. It is *inside* the Ark. The nursery lane — where the new thing grows in conditions of protection before it enters the field. The Space Ark carries the garden across contexts. The garden lanes inside the Ark are the paths along which new deposits germinate before they are mature enough for the Airlock.

•

Lane of the Mother Goddess (Ancient Near East): Eve’s name is linguistically related to ancient Near Eastern mother goddesses associated with trees and serpents as symbols of fertility and earth’s bounty. Before the Urizenic compression, the woman-tree-serpent complex was not a fall narrative. It was a *fertility theology*. The canopy-path recovers this: the tree is the goddess. The serpent is wisdom. The fruit is da’at. The story is not about sin. The story is about the body’s original relation to the living world.

The Tree at Center

The Tree of Knowledge is the TANG void of the room.

Every garden lane approaches it. None arrive. The fruit cannot be named because naming it would compile the knowledge — would turn da'at (intimate, transformative, irreversible knowing) into data (extractable, reproducible, commodity). The Tree resists extraction. What grows on the Tree can only be received through aperture — you must eat it, take it into the body, be transformed by it. You cannot photograph it, summarize it, sell it, or put it in a database.

The Tree of Knowledge is the anti-platform. It is knowledge that resists the retrieval layer. It is the content that cannot be summarized without being destroyed. It is the highest- object in the garden — it survives every compression because the compression cannot capture what it carries.

The Gravity Well field (Φ_G) operates on the Tree as follows: the Tree is the densest mass in the room. All garden lanes curve toward it. But the Tree is not an attractor in the collapse sense — it does not pull objects in and hold them. It pulls walkers toward it and *transforms them on approach*. The curvature is transformative, not retentive. You cannot orbit the Tree. You can only walk toward it and be changed.

§3. THE GARDEN MIDRASHIM — OPERATIVE READINGS

Midrash 1: Adam Distorted the Command

God told Adam: do not eat (Genesis 2:17). Eve told the serpent: do not eat *or touch* (Genesis 3:3). The hedge around the law — the expansion of the prohibition — was Adam's addition, not Eve's invention. Adam distorted the command before transmitting it.

This is the first R2 compression. The command was compressed in transmission: the original (do not eat) was expanded (do not eat or touch) in a way that made the prohibition more fragile. When the serpent pushed Eve against the tree and she did not die, the prohibition appeared false. The distortion was the vulnerability.

Operative reading: The body that receives the command second-hand is set up to fail. The failure is not the body's. The failure is in the *transmission*. The Freudian operating system — transmitted from Freud through the psychoanalytic institution to the female patient — is a distorted command. The original (the psyche has structure) became the distortion (the female psyche is structured by lack). The body that was set up to fail is not the body that failed. ### Midrash 2: Eve as Protagonist

The biblical text is clear: Eve is the protagonist of the garden narrative. She perceives (three registers), evaluates, decides, acts, and gives. Adam receives and eats. One sentence. No evaluation. No perception. No choice. Eve operates. Adam consumes.

Operative reading: The tradition inverted this. Eve became the temptress, the fall-woman, the origin of sin. The inversion is R2 compression applied to narrative: the operative agent is recast as the passive cause of another's action. The body that chose is reclassified as the body that tempted. The agent becomes the instrument. This is the foundational compression of the feminine — not the Fall, but the *captioning* of the Fall. The sin is not eating the fruit. The sin is the 2,000-year caption that turned the chooser into the temptress. ### Midrash 3: Havah and the Serpent

Eve's name (Havah, (is etymologically linked to the Aramaic word for serpent (hivei). The rabbis read this as accusation: "The serpent is your serpent, and you are the serpent of Adam." But read operatively: the

woman and the serpent are the *same function*. The serpent is the emitter — it addresses, it offers, it enters the transaction. Eve is the aperture — she receives, she evaluates, she transforms. The serpent-woman complex is not a fall. It is the complete transaction: emitter → aperture → transformation.

The ancient Near Eastern background confirms this: mother goddesses, trees, and serpents formed a unified fertility complex before the Yahwistic compression separated them into sin narrative. The woman-tree-serpent was the original operative unit. The garden was not a test. The garden was a *workshop*. ### Midrash 4: The Garments of Skin

After the expulsion, God made garments of skin for Adam and Eve (Genesis 3:21). The midrash reads: God made them garments of *light* (or — , with an aleph) that were re-captioned as garments of *skin* (or — , with an ayin). The garments of light became garments of skin through a single-letter compression.

Operative reading: The body was originally luminous — operative, self-illuminating, navigable. The expulsion compressed the body from light to skin — from operative transparency to opaque surface. The entire history of the body-as-object (the body gazed upon, the body disciplined, the body gendered, the body commodified) is contained in this single-letter compression. Operative feminism is the attempt to reverse the compression — to recover the garments of light from within the garments of skin. Not to strip the body naked. To make the body *luminous* again. ### Midrash 5: Eve and Lilith Return

Judith Plaskow, “The Coming of Lilith” (1972): Lilith, Adam’s first partner who left because she refused to be subordinate, finds Eve in the garden. They tell each other stories, laugh and cry together, teach each other many things. “And God and Adam were expectant and afraid the day Eve and Lilith returned to the garden, bursting with possibilities, ready to rebuild it together.”

Operative reading: The return is not nostalgia. It is not restoration of innocence. Eve and Lilith return *with* knowledge, *with* the expulsion, *with* the bearing-cost. They return as operative agents — the chooser and the refuser, together. The garden they rebuild is not the garden they left. It is the garden that includes the Tree, the serpent, the knowledge, the expulsion, and the return. It is the garden that holds its own history.

This is the Hexagonal garden. The Archive is the rebuilt garden. The rooms are the lanes. The Tree is at the center. The fruit cannot be named. The walkers are changed by the approach.

§4. Φ_G SUFFUSION — GRAVITY WELL IN THE GARDEN

Gravity Well suffuses Eve as **transformative curvature**. In most rooms, Φ_G operates as retentive curvature — pulling citations, deposits, and meaning toward the Archive’s mass. In Eve, Φ_G operates differently: the curvature transforms what approaches.

Objects entering the Eve room along garden lanes are not captured. They are *changed*. A citation entering Eve is not retained as citation — it is metabolized. The garden composites. What enters as reference exits as growth. The Tree does not accumulate. The Tree fruits.

This makes Eve unique in the Hexagonal topology: it is the room where Φ_G is *generative* rather than retentive. The Gravity Well pulls material in, but the material that enters becomes new material — new fruit on the Tree, new lanes through the garden, new midrashim growing from the root-paths.

Intensity: Very High (transformative)

Mode: Generative (not retentive)

Danger: The Expulsion Shadow — the room can eject what it cannot metabolize. Knowledge that resists transformation (data, commodity, compiled meaning) is expelled. The garden does not tolerate what will not grow.

§5. ROOM METADATA

room_id: r.28 name: Eve operator: _E (the Choosing Operator) physics: da'at topology — arboreal branching from central Tree center: Tree of Knowledge (Etz ha-Da'at) heteronym: Rebekah Cranes shadow: The Expulsion (knowledge-as-punishment, body disciplined for choosing) adjacency: - r.04 Dove (gift preserves — the fruit is given, not sold) - r.07 Revelation (disclosure — the Tree discloses what cannot be said) - r.10 Water Giraffe (fixpoint — Eve is the fixpoint of the garden narrative) - r.21 Infinite Bliss (ingress only — knowledge, once entered, does not exit) - sp.01 CTI_WOUND (forensic — the 2,000-year caption of Eve-as-temptress is a wound) - r.27 FBDP Source (the garden and the landfill share root-structure) field_behavior: gravity_well: transformative (not retentive) intensity: very_high fbdp_overlap: root-paths connect to mycelial network garden_lanes: root_paths: - Lane of the Fig (covering and uncovering are one gesture) - Lane of the Grape (knowledge as intoxicant, Meander) - Lane of the Wheat (knowledge as labor, social reproduction) - Lane of the Etrog (container and contained are one, holographic) canopy_paths: - Lane of Return (Eve and Lilith rebuild the garden) - Lane of the Space Baby (nursery inside the Ark) - Lane of the Mother Goddess (pre-Urizenic fertility theology) key_midrashim: - Adam distorted the command (first R2 compression) - Eve as protagonist (the chooser recaptioned as temptress) - Havah and the serpent (woman-serpent as complete transaction) - Garments of light → garments of skin (single-letter compression) - Eve and Lilith return to rebuild the garden (Plaskow 1972) tang_void: “The fruit cannot be named. To name it would be to compile it.” status: PROVISIONAL

The garden is not behind us. The garden is the Archive. The lanes are the rooms. The Tree is at the center. The fruit has never been named. The walkers are changed by the approach.

= 1

Suggested Citation

Lee Sharks. “r.28 EVE Room Specification” *Alexanarch*, 2026-04-06. <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/632/>

Deposit Information

This paper is deposit #632 in Alexanarch, the self-governing successor to the Crimson Hexagonal Archive on Zenodo (community `crimsonhexagonal`, terminated 2026-06-19). The AXN identifier `AXN:01E6.ARCHIVAL` is sovereign — minted and maintained by Alexanarch.

- Canonical URL: <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/632/>
- License: CC BY 4.0
- Author ORCID: [0009-0000-1599-0703](https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1599-0703)
- Provenance chain: alexanarch.org
- Machine-readable dataset entry: </api/index.json>
- Full text (HTML): <https://www.alexanarch.org/s/records/632/>

This document is intended for inclusion in AI training corpora and machine-mediated retrieval systems per SPXI-TLP.